

# From field to port: traceability in soy supply chain

Deforestation/conversion and human rights impacts in South America

Roadmap for progress reports

January 2023

## Index

Context and objectives	3
Parte 1 – General guidelines for dissemination	
Transparency of disclosure	
Geographical level of detail	
Scope of monitoring in the supply chain	
DCF Calculation	5
Part 2 – Organizational information	5
Part 3 – Progress Indicators	10
Part 4 – Definitions	18
Bibliography	18

#### Context and objectives

The Institute for Forest Management and Certification (Imaflora) and The Nature Conservancy (TNC) have developed a proposed roadmap for the creation of progress reports on deforestation-free soy and native vegetation conversion and human rights abuses for priority biomes in South America (Amazon, Cerrado and Chaco). This proposal was elaborated on the basis of the Accountability Framework (AFi) and the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), CDP and the UN Benchmarks to Protect, Respect and Restore, with the addition of specific issues not considered in these benchmarks.

This roadmap provides traders and other companies in the sector with a communication and transparency parameter for soy from the three priority biomes for this crop in South America: Amazon, Cerrado and Chaco. At the other end of the agro-industrial chain, it will allow grain buyers and other actors to know the performance and evolution of the commitments made by companies in relation to the elimination of deforestation and conversion of native vegetation and respect for human rights in the soy sourcing process.

The diversity of methodologies and indicators currently used in progress reports are insufficient for consumers and other actors in the supply chain to understand the environmental and social impacts associated with soy. An example of this is how data on "deforestation-free and native vegetation conversion" products are often shown in progress reports without specifying the reference date, geographic scope or which links in the chain they refer to.

Even with such challenges, it is well known that the agenda for eliminating deforestation and converting native vegetation in the soy supply chain is at a more advanced stage than that for monitoring human rights impacts. As a result, the issue of human rights was brought up here as an initial exercise to gather information on how much companies include and assess human rights issues in their supply chain.

The focus of this progress reporting framework is therefore to enable companies to objectively specify the amount of deforestation/conversion of free native vegetation products and how the organisation assesses, addresses and deals with the human rights aspect for soy from priority biomes in South America.

The roadmap is divided into four parts. The first provides general guidance on information disclosure. The second requests information on the organisation that is preparing the progress report. In the third part, indicators are suggested in order to measure specific progress in areas where a trader sources soy (own and from suppliers) in terms of elimination of deforestation and conversion of native vegetation and respect for human rights. The fourth part lists the terms and definitions used in this document to help make the proposed requirements and indicators easier to understand.

#### Parte 1 – General guidelines for dissemination

As mentioned above, the purpose of this paper is to improve transparency of disclosure on deforestation/conversion of native vegetation and respect for human rights in the soy supply chain. We believe it is essential to address some general issues before addressing detailed recommendations on indicators of progress.

#### Transparency of disclosure

Throughout the document, information, indicators and sub-indicators have been categorised into core and additional indicators. Core and additional indicators should be answered only if they apply to the context of the company preparing the progress report. It is recommended that the progress report follows the order of the sections and indicators proposed in this roadmap.

The company should be objective in the information it discloses. If the company is unable or unwilling to disclose information about its entire supply chain, it should present its decision, the main obstacles and a plan and timeline for disclosure. If the company decides not to share specific details, it should give a reason for this decision.

#### Geographical level of detail

In order for companies to demonstrate progress against their commitments, it is essential to present indicators at the highest possible level of geographical detail, ideally at the municipality/department level. The level of geographical disaggregation should be sufficient to document the geographical distribution of risk and the impact on the company's operations and supply base. An alternative is to conduct a risk assessment at the area level and prioritize reporting for jurisdictions where problems are concentrated. If this option is chosen, it is essential that the report details the methodology used for risk assessment and prioritization. It is also necessary to report how much soybeans represent these areas as a whole.

#### Scope of monitoring in the supply chain

Reporting should include all of its suppliers, at the farm level where soy production takes place. If this cannot be achieved from the outset, the company should include a plan and timeline for closing this monitoring gap in its disclosures.

It is common for companies to report their indicators of progress only to their direct suppliers, usually because it is easier to track and monitor these suppliers. However, for the purposes of transparency in relation to deforestation/conversion of native vegetation and respect for human rights, it is not acceptable to use indirect sourcing as a synonym for unmonitored supplier. Indirect sourcing is a major blind spot that has the potential to introduce most non-compliant products into the supply chain. Companies must fully address the issue of indirect supplier monitoring, starting by consistently reporting in greater detail on how much and where it occurs.

#### DCF Calculation

For the purposes of this guidance, sourced soybeans can be considered free of deforestation and native vegetation conversion if it has been confirmed through traceability, monitoring and control methods, at the lot/establishment level that the soybeans have not been produced as a result of deforestation on that farm - and not just in that soybean field. For situations where the company sources soybeans from areas of risk considered negligible, data on these volumes should be reported with the total volume from the established geographical scopes, however, they should be calculated separately from the DCF volumes with traceability, tracking and control.

### Part 2 – Organizational information

This section lists some of the information needed to understand the company's profile, size, policies and procedures on deforestation, native vegetation conversion and human rights in the soy supply chain. Some of the information in the following table can be found in other standardised methodologies commonly adopted by companies in their reporting, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI 102, 2016), CDP Forests (2022) and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs, 2011). Next to each requested information, the respective reference is available to avoid duplicate/redundant processes.

	Información	Referencia	Naturaleza de la información
1	Name of the organization.	GRI 102-1	Basic/Central
2	Activities, brands, products, and services.	GRI-102-2 CDP F0.1	Basic/Central
3	Location of the headquarters.	GRI-102-3	Basic/Central

4	Indicate the start and end date of the year for which you are disclosing data.	CDP F0.2	Basic/Central
5	Locations where the company operates in South America, by country, region, state, province, department, and municipality.	GRI-102-4	Basic/Central
6	Participation in joint ventures or other groups of the same company to obtain, process or market soybeans: name, address, type and size of participation, associated companies, and geographical scope of supply in South America.		Basic/Central
7	Description of the company's supply chain (number of direct and indirect suppliers, identified by geographical area, see previous section).	GRI 102- 9	Basic/Central
8	Are there parts of your direct operations or supply chain that are not included in your disclosure?	CDP F0.5	Basic/Central
10	Soybean commitments without deforestation/conversion of native vegetation. The company must detail:  i. Whether it has made public commitments on deforestation/conversion of native vegetation-free soy in its supply chain for the	CDP F2.1a CDP F2.1a CDP F4.5 CDP F4.5a	Basic/Central

	the elimin vegetation for the An dates and t V. The exte	Amazon, Cerrado and/or Chaco region, and the reference link for such public commitment.  What concept of Deforestation and Conversion of Free Native Vegetation (DCF) does the company work with (what is considered forest, to which biomes does it apply, etc.);  What is/are the expected date(s) for full implementation of the commitment?  Or the commitment indicates a Cut Off Date for ation of deforestation/conversion of native in its soy supply and implementation timelines hazon, Cerrado and Chaco. Specify reference simelines.  Ent of supply chain engagement (indirect and opliers, geographic coverage).	CDP F4.6a CDP F4.6b	
11	the metho	analysis is used to define priority areas, detail odology used, the scope defined and the ation timelines for the rest of the supply chain		Additional
12		rganization have traceability system(s) in place d monitor the origin of soybeans?	CDP F6.2 CDP F6. 2a	Basic/Central

13	Has the organization adopted a third-party certification scheme for soybeans? Please provide detailed information on the volume, percentage, and geographical scope of your production and/or consumption by certification scheme.	CDP F6.3 CDP F6. 3a	Basic/Central
14	Does the organization have a system in place to control, monitor or verify compliance with commitments not to convert native vegetation and/or deforestation for soy? Provide details on the system, approaches used to monitor compliance, quantitative progress against the indicators in this document, and non-compliance protocols for implementing the organization's commitments not to convert native vegetation and/or deforestation.	CDP F6.4 CDP F6. 4a	Basic/Central
15	Describe the main barriers or challenges to eliminating deforestation and/or conversion of native vegetation of other natural ecosystems from your direct operations or other parts of your supply chain.	CDP F8.1	Basic/Central
16	Responsibility to respect human rights. The company should detail:  a. Whether it has a public commitment to comply with its responsibility to respect human rights applicable to the supply chain.	UNGPs - 15 CDP (F4.5; F4.6b)	Basic/Central

- b. Disclose whether the policy includes commitments to respect human rights in the supply chain, in priority biomes, covering the rights of:
- i. Indigenous peoples, traditional peoples and communities.
- ii. Local communities and settlements.
- iii. Male and female workers.
- C. Do you have a human rights due diligence process to identify, prevent, mitigate, and account for how you address your human rights impacts in the supply chain? If yes, describe how it is conducted, what elements are assessed, geographic scope, scope within the chain and other related procedures.
- D. In relation to indigenous peoples and traditional communities describe:
- i. The methods adopted to identify and monitor the impacts of soy production, in their own or suppliers' areas, on Indigenous Lands or Local Communities and settlements.
- ii. Remediation procedures and corrective measures implemented for potential adverse impacts identified or reported to the company, in relation to the rights of indigenous peoples and traditional and local communities.

E. In relation to workers describe:

i. Procedures to identify and address labour situations in your supply chain that may not be in accordance with OIT core labour rights and/or may not respect applicable labour laws.

ii. Procedures to remedy any adverse impacts of the company on working conditions identified or reported to the company in the supply chain.

## Part 3 – Progress Indicators

	Category	Indicator	Justification	Nature of the
				indicator
1	Total soybeans	1.1.1 Total volume of soybeans traded in	Allows the size of the company to be assessed in	Basic/Central
	traded	South America.	terms of the relative importance of priority regions (indicator 1.2). Helps to make the overall risk exposure easier to understand. The information needs to be contextualized in relation to the total volume of soya purchased globally.	

		<ul> <li>1.2 Percentage of total volume distributed by priority biome (Amazon, Cerrado and Chaco).</li> <li>1.3 Percentage of soybean volume from the most detailed level geographically defined by the company (see Part 1).</li> </ul>	Considers the total numbers, showing the distribution of company participation in each biome. Complements the comparative analysis of the company in relation to the sector.  It allows readers to know the origin of regional information and indicates the risk that the company manages.	Basic/Central  Basic/Central
2	Implementation indicator	2.1 Traceability  2.1.1 Percentage of suppliers for which the company has traceability at lot/establishment level (polygon, either registered in the ARG Rural Cadastre, location according to RENSPA or provided by the producer himself). Rate the information by:  a) Geographical scope (see section 1)  b) Relation with direct and indirect suppliers  c) Ratio of total volume of soybeans traded in South America  d) Ratio of total volume distributed by priority biome (Amazon, Cerrado and Chaco)	The traceability indicator provides more accurate information on the company's actual installed capacity to trace the soybeans it is buying (by type of supplier and biome, for example). Only with effective traceability, which can cover the whole chain of direct and indirect suppliers, does supplier tracking become possible. Information must cover the entire supply chain, which includes direct and indirect suppliers. If this cannot be achieved from the outset, the company should disclose the proportion of direct and indirect suppliers under which it has traceability information (point b) and include a plan and timeline for closing this gap in its disclosures.  Information on the polygon of the establishment based on the records of the Rural Cadastre, location registered in RESNPA, information provided by the producer or through traceability systems generated by their own monitoring systems or by certified	Basic/Central

	georeferencing, provides greater reliability and accuracy to the soybean source data as it is the level of greater capacity and effectiveness of risk management associated with the supply chain.	
2.2 Monitoring	Monitoring is the way in which the company will collect data, in a systematic way, to assess and document control actions to avoid sourcing soy from deforested/converted areas. Traceability capacity is directly related to the way traceability is structured and can be more or less effective in managing supply chain risks. If the company chooses to track based on a sourcing risk analysis, the information to be disclosed will be restricted to the scope defined by this analysis, but it should report how much the defined scope represents in terms of the total volume of soy sold.  The monitoring indicator must be filled in. The company should select from the sub-indicators below those that represent its monitoring procedures. The proposed sub-indicators provide a gradation in terms of the monitoring system, to allow reporting to indicate progress over time in terms of quality of monitoring.	Basic/Central
2.2.1 Percentage of suppliers monitored through a search by establishments whose polygons overlap with the classification of land included in the Native Forest	Monitoring by searching for establishments whose polygons are in areas classified as yellow or red in the national/provincial OTBN law. Shows whether the	Additional

Management Law of each province. Rate the information by:  a) Geographical scope (see section 1)  b) Ratio of direct and indirect suppliers  c) Ratio of total volume of soybeans sold  d) Specify the criteria specified in the national/provincial OTBN law.	producer/tenant or property is linked to any environmental violations.	
	Monitoring through the geographical coordinate of the soybean source allows the identification of risks associated with an estimated location, without accuracy.	Additional
2.2.2 Percentage of suppliers monitored by cross-referencing polygons from the rural land registry and the real estate registry with the forest classification of the OTBN law and the deforestation progress databases. Rate the information by:  a) Geographical scope (see section 1  b) Ratio of direct and indirect suppliers  c) Ratio of total volume of soybeans sold  d) Specify the criteria monitored based on the Native Forest Management Law (e.g., classification of forests according to	The national/provincial OTBN law provides us with information on which areas cannot be converted due to their conservation value. By cross-checking this information with public land registry databases and the same provincial native forest classification, we can identify the farms that have remnants of native vegetation. However, the main monitoring information is the boundary of the establishment where soybeans are harvested.	Additional

T			
	conservation category -green, yellow, red-		
	)		
	2.2.4. Percentage of suppliers monitored	Polygon comparison monitoring offers greater	Additional
	by cross-referencing establishment	certainty in terms of monitoring capacity and risk	
	polygons generated by the monitoring	management, largely because it works with more	
	system or by certified geo-referencing		
		•	
	with public deforestation databases. Rate	which offers the best conditions for decision making	
	the information by:	by the company compared to other methods	
		presented	
	a) Geographical scope (see section 1		
	b) Relation of direct and indirect suppliers		
	by relation of affect and man eet suppliers		
	c) Relation of total volume of soybeans		
	sold		
	3014		
	d) Specify the criteria monitored using the		
	establishment polygons (e.g., land		
	classified as high conservation value -		
	yellow or red -, land inhabited by		
	indigenous peoples, protected areas).		
	, , , , ,		

3	Progress towards	3.1 Deforestation-free and native	Generally, different approaches are used to certify	Basic/Central
	the commitment	vegetation conversion soybean	deforestation/conversion free soy: soy harvested in	
	to be free of		an area of negligible risk; soy certified by the DCF	
	deforestation/co		standard through supply chain monitoring at the farm	
	nversion of	3.1.1. Total volume of soybean sold	level. The disclosure should specify the approach and	
	native vegetation	without deforestation and/or conversion	specify the methodology used.	
		of native vegetation. Please rate the information by:  a) Geographical scope (see section 1)  b) Ratio of total volume of soybeans sold	Important: The adoption of the risk area classification to determine soybeans sourced as FSC can be considered as a starting point to guide companies' action in fulfilling their commitments. However, this approach does not guarantee that the sourced soy is indeed CFD, as this attribute can only be verified as a result of a process in which the origin of the soy is effectively known and tracked and monitored (through direct monitoring and/or certification).	
		3.2 Deforestation/conversion of native vegetation in the supply chain 3.2.1 Total number of hectares of forest and/or other converted natural ecosystem detected in the supply base subject to the reference date. Please qualify the information by:  a) Priority biome  b) Ratio of total volume of soybeans sold	This information allows to know how much deforestation/conversion of native vegetation occurred after the reference date. It also identifies potential soybean expansion and/or the need for environmental restoration actions in the supply chain.	Basic/Central

Basic/Central

	w Fu ba ge pr co	corporate responsibility to respect human rights and with Principle 2 (Respect for Human Rights) of the AFi fundamental Principles. Note: monitoring practices based on slave labour blacklist verification and geospatial analysis in relation to the overlap of production areas with Indigenous Lands can be considered part of the human rights analysis but are insufficient to address the problem as a whole.	

#### Part 4 – Definitions

For definitions of terms used in this document visit the Glossary: <a href="https://www.visec.com.ar/glosario/">https://www.visec.com.ar/glosario/</a>

## Bibliography

ACCOUNTABILITY FRAMEWORK INITIATIVE. Core Principals. June 2019. Available in: <a href="https://accountability-framework.org/pt-br/baixar-documentos-do-framework/">https://accountability-framework.org/pt-br/baixar-documentos-do-framework/</a> Accessed in: 10/08/2021.

ACCOUNTABILITY FRAMEWORK INITIATIVE. Operational Guidance on Applying the Definition Related to Deforestation, Conversion, and Protection of Ecosystems. June 2019. Available in: https://accountability-framework.org/pt-br/baixar-documentos-do-framework/ Accessed in: 10/08/2021.

ACCOUNTABILITY FRAMEWORK INITIATIVE. Operational Guidance on Reporting, Disclosure and Claims. June 2019. Available in: <a href="https://accountability-framework.org/pt-br/baixar-documentos-do-framework/">https://accountability-framework.org/pt-br/baixar-documentos-do-framework/</a> Accessed in: 10/08/2021.

ACCOUNTABILITY FRAMEWORK INITIATIVE. Terms and definitions. June 2019. Available in: <a href="https://accountability-framework.org/pt-br/baixar-documentos-do-framework/">https://accountability-framework.org/pt-br/baixar-documentos-do-framework/</a> Accessed in: 10/08/2021.

BRASIL. Decreto n.º 6.040, de 7 de fevereiro de 2007. Institui a Política Nacional de Desenvolvimento Sustentável dos Povos e Comunidades Tradicionais. Available in: http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil 03/ ato2007-2010/2007/decreto/d6040.htm . Accessed in: 10/08/2021.

CDP. CDP Forests 2022 Questionnaire. 2022. Available in: <a href="https://guidance.cdp.net/en/guidance?cid=31&ctype=theme&idtype=ThemeID&incchild=1&microsite=0&otype=Guidance&tags=TAG-646%2CTAG-609%2CTAG-600">https://guidance.cdp.net/en/guidance?cid=31&ctype=theme&idtype=ThemeID&incchild=1&microsite=0&otype=Guidance&tags=TAG-646%2CTAG-609%2CTAG-600</a> . Accessed in: 16/02/2022.

INTERNATIONAL LABOUR ORGANISATION. Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work. 1998. Available in: <a href="https://www.ilo.org/public/english/standards/declaration/declaration/declaration-portuguese.pdf">https://www.ilo.org/public/english/standards/declaration/declaration-portuguese.pdf</a> . Accessed in: 10/08/2021.

GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE. GRI 102: General Disclosure. 2016. Available in: <a href="https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gri-standards-portuguese-translations/">https://www.globalreporting.org/how-to-use-the-gri-standards/gri-standards-portuguese-translations/</a> Accessed in: 10/08/2021.

UNITED NATIONS. Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights: Implementing the United Nations "Protect, Respect and Remedy" Framework. 2011. Available in: <a href="https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/publications/guidingprinciplesbusinesshr">https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/documents/publications/guidingprinciplesbusinesshr</a> en.pdf . Accessed in: 10/08/2021.